



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/919,326	07/31/2001	Robert W. Torres	41250/WPC/P526	3726

23363 7590 08/27/2004

CHRISTIE, PARKER & HALE, LLP
PO BOX 7068
PASADENA, CA 91109-7068

EXAMINER

LUGO, CARLOS

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

3676

DATE MAILED: 08/27/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/919,326

Applicant(s)

TORRES ET AL.

Examiner

Carlos Lugo

Art Unit

3676

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 05 August 2004.
- 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 5,7-11 and 13-16 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 5,7-11 and 13-16 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 07 February 2003 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
- ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 - ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 - ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 6) ☐ Other: _____

DETAILED ACTION

1. This Office Action is in response to applicant's amendment filed on August 5, 2005.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) The invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

3. **Claims 5,7,8 and 13-15 are rejected** under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by US Pat No 3,792,416 to Moulin.

Regarding claims 5 and 8, Moulin discloses a device comprising a sleeve (150) comprising a longitudinal axis and an insertion end and a skirt integrally formed on the sleeve (Figure 2a).

The skirt comprises a first integral section extending in a plane which is substantially perpendicular to the longitudinal axis and a second integral section comprising an interior surface and a sealing surface that extends along the length of the sleeve in a direction opposite to the insertion end such that there is a gap between the interior surface and the sleeve (Figure 2a).

As to the limitation that the skirt is molded, applicant is reminded that the method of forming the device is not germane to the issue of patentability of the device itself. Therefore, this limitation has not been given patentable weight.

As to the limitation that the sealing surface has substantially the same shape of the interior surface of the cavity prior to insertion into the cavity so that the skirt

Art Unit: 3676

deforms only a small amount to form a seal between the sealing surface and the interior surface of the cavity when the sleeve is subsequently inserted into the cavity is considered as a method step in a device or article claim. the current claim is claiming a device, not a method, therefore, the limitation will not be considered.

As to claims 7,14 and 15, Moulin discloses that the sleeve includes a wiping land (174).

As to claim 13, Moulin discloses that the skirt is integrally formed in the sleeve (Figure 2a).

4. **Claims 5,7-11 and 13-16 are rejected** under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by US Pat No 5,540,450 to Hayashi et al (Hayashi).

Regarding claims 5 and 8, Hayashi discloses a device comprising a sleeve (3) comprising a longitudinal axis and an insertion end and a skirt integrally formed on the sleeve (14a and 16a, Figure 2).

The skirt comprises a first integral section extending in a plane which is substantially perpendicular to the longitudinal axis and a second integral section comprising an interior surface and a sealing surface that extends along the length of the sleeve in a direction opposite to the insertion end such that there is a gap between the interior surface and the sleeve (Figure 2).

As to the limitation that the skirt is molded, applicant is reminded that the method of forming the device is not germane to the issue of patentability of the device itself. Therefore, this limitation has not been given patentable weight.

As to the limitation that the sealing surface has substantially the same shape of the interior surface of the cavity prior to insertion into the cavity so that the skirt deforms only a small amount to form a seal between the sealing surface and the interior surface of the cavity when the sleeve is subsequently inserted into the cavity is considered as a method step in a device or article claim. Therefore, the limitation will not be considered.

As to claims 7,14 and 15, Hayashi discloses that the sleeve includes a wiping land (6).

As to claims 9 and 16, Hayashi discloses a method of sealing an opening of a cavity comprising the steps:

a) Inserting a portion of a structure (2) through a sleeve (3) of a sealing assembly, wherein the sealing assembly has a molded skirt (14a and 16a, Figure 2) constructed from an electrically insulating, elastomeric material.

b) Inserting a section of the structure including portion of the structure inserted through the sealing assembly into the cavity through the cavity opening so that the molded skirt is in sealing contact with the inside surface of the cavity; wherein the molded skirt comprises a sealing surface that has substantially the same shape as the interior surface of the cavity prior to insertion into the cavity so that the skirt deforms only a small amount to form a seal between the sealing surface and the interior surface of the cavity (Figure 2).

As to claims 10 and 11, Hayashi discloses the step of cleaning a portion of the interior surface of the cavity using the wiping land (6).

As to claim 13, Hayashi discloses that the skirt is integrally formed in the sleeve (Figure 2a).

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments, see Remarks on Page 6, filed August 5, 2004, with respect to the restriction and withdrawn of claims 13-16 have been fully considered and are persuasive. The restriction of claims 13-16 has been withdrawn and the claims are considered.

As to the rejection of the claims in view of Moulin, as modified by Hauff, the rejection has been withdrawn. A new rejection to claims 5,7-11 and 13-16 as been made.

Conclusion

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Carlos Lugo whose telephone number is 703-305-9747. The examiner can normally be reached on 9-6pm EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Daniel P. Stodola can be reached on 703-308-2686. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-306-5771.

CL

Carlos Lugo

AY 3676

Aug. 23, 2004



DANIEL P. STODOLA
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600